

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.             | FILING DATE    | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------------------|----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 10/076,198                  | 02/13/2002     | Joseph W. Street     | 32274                   | 3196             |
| 7:                          | 590 01/31/2005 |                      | EXAMINER                |                  |
| HOVEY, WILLIAMS,            |                |                      | SILBERMANN, JOANNE      |                  |
| TIMMONS & COLLINS Suite 400 |                |                      | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER     |
| 2405 Grand                  |                |                      | 3611                    |                  |
| Kansas City, MO 64108       |                |                      | DATE MAILED: 01/31/2005 |                  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   | Application No.   | Applicant(s)   |  |  |  |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| Office Action Commence  | 10/076,198  | STREET, JOSEPH W.                                    |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary   | Examiner  | Art Unit   |  |  |  |
| The MAN NO DATE of the control of the   | Joanne Silbermann   | 3611   |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app<br>Period for Reply  | ears on the cover sheet with the c  | orrespondence address                                |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). |   |  |  |  |  |
| Status  |   |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 No.</li> <li>2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This</li> <li>3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E.</li> </ul>  | action is non-final.<br>nce except for formal matters, pro  |  |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1.3.5-13 and 15-17 is/are pending in t 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1.3.5-13 and 15-17 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine  | vn from consideration.  |  |  |  |  |
| •   | epted or b) objected to by the I<br>drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See<br>ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob      | e 37 CFR 1.85(a).<br>jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list  | s have been received.<br>s have been received in Applicati<br>rity documents have been receive<br>u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | on No ed in this National Stage                      |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date   | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:  |  |  |  |  |

Art Unit: 3611

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1, 3, 5-11, 13 and 15-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Davila et al. US #6,666,378 in view of Madison, US #5,316,345.
- 3. Davila et al. teach a card having front and rear panels connected by a fold line (Figure 5) and having preprinted indicia thereon (column 4 lines 18-24). The rear panel includes a die cut for holding a gift card (such as a phone card, see column 1 lines 64-65). Such gift cards include coded portions in the form of scannable information on a magnetic strip, as well as a bar code. The front panel conceals the gift card when folded (Figure 2a). The size of the greeting card portion is larger than that of the gift card.
- 4. Davila teaches using all sides of the card for indicia, but does not specifically describe indicia under the card. Such hidden indicia are well known in the art of cards, however. Madison shows a card including indicia that is initially covered (Figure 3b for example). It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to place indicia under the card so that the indicia may be seen once it is uncovered, as is shown in Madison.
- 5. Davila et al. teach the use of special occasion graphics (column 4 line 19).

Art Unit: 3611

6. Madison teaches the use of adhesive to hold label 54 over the indicia. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill to utilize adhesive as an alternative to a die cut.

- 7. Davila et al. do not teach a transponder or a transmitter, however, these are considered to be well known alternative equivalents to the magnetic strip. It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art to utilize one of these alternatives as an obvious matter of design choice to accommodate the particular needs of the retail establishment where the card is to be used.
- 8. Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Davila et al. and Madison as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Smith et al.
- 9. Davila et al. and Madison do not teach a window in the panel, however, this is well known as shown by Smith et al. Smith et al. teach card panels holding a gift card and window 5 through which bar code 7 shows. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill to utilize such a window in the card of Davila et al. (as modified by Madison) so that the card may be activated more easily.

## Response to Arguments

10. Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

11. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP

Art Unit: 3611

§ 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

- 12. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 13. US patents 6698116 and 5915734 are cited as of interest.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joanne Silbermann whose telephone number is 703-308-2091. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 5:30 - 2:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lesley Morris can be reached on 703-308-0629. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3611

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Joanne Silbermann Primary Examiner Art Unit 3611